

ORDER APPROVING JOINT STIPULATION

In light of the Joint Stipulation regarding Defendant Pfizer Inc.'s ("Pfizer") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Cases for Failure to Comply with Discovery Obligations ("Stipulation"), and for good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED, with respect to the Plaintiffs in *Karmen Ambarchian, et al. v Pfizer Inc., et al.*, case number 2:15-cv-1911-RMG, *Mariam Ayrapetyan, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.* case number 2:15-cv-1913-RMG, and *Larisa Galstian, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.*, case number 2:15-cv-1912-RMG ("Plaintiffs"), that:

- 1. The time for Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A, (Dkt. No. 1229-1), to provide compliant PFSs and other mandatory disclosures is extended to December 14, 2015;
- 2. The time for Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit B, (Dkt. No. 1229-2), to respond to Pfizer's Motion to Dismiss, if any, remains October 31, 2015;
- 3. With respect to the Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit C, (Dkt. No. 1229-3), Pfizer's Motion to Dismiss will be withdrawn without prejudice to Pfizer's rights to identify deficiencies or

omissions in the PFSs or disclosures provided by the Plaintiffs and shall retain the right to make an appropriate motion if such deficiencies or omissions are not timely cured;

- 4. With respect to the Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A who fail to provide complete and compliant PFSs and other mandatory disclosures by December 14, 2015, and who do not voluntarily dismiss their actions by December 14, 2015, Pfizer's Motion to Dismiss will proceed as to those plaintiffs as of December 15, 2015, and Exhibit A Plaintiffs' time to respond to Pfizer's Motion to Dismiss is extended to December 21, 2015;
- 5. The Plaintiffs will not seek or move for remand of their actions to California state court and will remain coordinated in MDL No. 2:14-mn-02502-RMG;
- 6. To the extent any of the Plaintiffs seek a voluntary dismissal of their claims, he or she will agree to all the terms of dismissal required by the Court in CMO 12, including an agreement that any re-filing of the action will be in federal court; and
- 7. The stipulation between the parties and agreements contained therein shall remain in full force and effect regardless of whether any of the Plaintiffs retain new counsel to represent them in this or subsequently re-filed litigation based on the same or similar claims.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Hon, Richard Mark Gergel United States District Judge

November ______, 2015 Charleston, South Carolina